

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10

11 KENNETH I. EDMONTON,

No. CIV S-05-0855-LKK-CMK-P

12 Petitioner,

13 vs.

ORDER

14 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,

15 Respondents.
16 _____/

17 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this petition for a writ of
18 habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States
19 Magistrate Judge pursuant to Local Rule 72-302(c)(21).

20 On April 25, 2006, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations
21 herein which were served on petitioner and which contained notice to petitioner that any
22 objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within ten days. Petitioner has
23 filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

24 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-
25 304, this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the
26 entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and

1 by proper analysis.

2 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:


3 1. The findings and recommendations filed April 25, 2006, are adopted in
4 full;

5 2. Petitioner's motion to enjoin state court proceedings is denied;

6 3. Respondents' motion to dismiss is denied; and

7 4. Respondents are directed to file an answer to the petition within 30 days
8 of the date of service of this order.

9 DATED: July 6, 2006.



Lawrence K. Karlton
Senior Judge